

The Daugavet equation for operators not fixing a copy of $C(S)$

LUTZ WEIS AND DIRK WERNER

ABSTRACT. We prove the norm identity $\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$, which is known as the Daugavet equation, for operators T on $C(S)$ not fixing a copy of $C(S)$, where S is a compact metric space without isolated points.

1. INTRODUCTION

An operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach space is said to satisfy the *Daugavet equation* if

$$\|Id + T\| = 1 + \|T\|; \quad (1.1)$$

this terminology is derived from Daugavet's theorem that a compact operator on $C[0, 1]$ satisfies (1.1). Many authors have established the Daugavet equation for various classes of operators, e.g., the weakly compact ones, on various spaces; we refer to [1], [2], [4], [7], [10], [11], [13] and the references in these papers for more information.

The most far-reaching result for operators on $L^1[0, 1]$ is due to Plichko and Popov [7, Th. 9.2 and Th. 9.8] who prove that an operator on $L^1[0, 1]$ which does not fix a copy of $L^1[0, 1]$ satisfies the Daugavet equation. (As usual, $T: X \rightarrow X$ fixes a copy of a Banach space E if there is a subspace $F \subset X$ isomorphic to E such that $T|_F$ is an (into-) isomorphism.) In this paper we shall establish the corresponding result for operators on spaces of continuous functions.

Theorem 1.1 *Let (S, d) be a compact metric space without isolated points. If $T: C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$ does not fix a copy of $C(S)$, then T satisfies the Daugavet equation.*

In the next section we shall provide a direct proof of this theorem which is measure theoretic in spirit, and in Section 3 we shall give another argument which relies on a deep result due to Rosenthal [8]. Though this proof is much shorter it is less revealing than the one in Section 2; therefore we found it worthwhile to present both arguments.

Either of our approaches depends on the analysis of the representing kernel of an operator $T: C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$; this is the family of Borel measures on S defined by $\mu_s = T^* \delta_s$, $s \in S$. In [10] (see also [11]) a necessary and sufficient condition on the kernel of T was established in order that T satisfy the Daugavet equation. Here we record a special case which suffices for our needs.

Lemma 1.2 *If the representing kernel $(\mu_s)_{s \in S}$ of a bounded linear operator $T: C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$ satisfies*

$$\inf_{s \in U} |\mu_s(\{s\})| = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

for all nonvoid open subsets U of S , then T satisfies the Daugavet equation.

Our results are valid for real and complex spaces; we denote the scalar field by $\mathbb{K}$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We fix a diffuse probability measure λ on S whose support is S . To show the existence of such a measure, consider a countable basis of open sets $O_n \subset S$. Since each O_n is perfect, we know from a theorem of Bessaga and Pełczyński (see [6, p. 52]) that there are diffuse probability measures λ_n with $\text{supp } \lambda_n \subset O_n$; it remains to define $\lambda = \sum 2^{-n} \lambda_n$.

Now let $T: C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$ be a bounded linear operator and $(\mu_s)_{s \in S}$ its representing kernel. It is enough to show that T fixes a copy of $C(S)$ if (1.2) of Lemma 1.2 fails for some open set U . Thus we assume, for some open $U \subset S$ and $\alpha > 0$, that

$$|\mu_s(\{s\})| \geq \alpha \quad \forall s \in U. \quad (2.1)$$

We shall also assume without loss of generality that $\|T\| = 1$.

We shall decompose the μ_s into their atomic and diffuse parts. It is instrumental for our argument that this can be accomplished in a measurable

fashion, as was proved by Kalton [5, Th. 2.10]. More precisely we can write

$$\mu_s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(s) \delta_{\sigma_n(s)} + \nu_s$$

where

- each $a_n: S \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is measurable for the completion Σ_λ of the Borel sets of S with respect to λ ,
- each $\sigma_n: S \rightarrow S$ is Σ_λ -Borel-measurable,
- each ν_s is diffuse, and $s \mapsto |\nu_s|$ is Σ_λ -Borel-measurable (we are considering the weak* Borel sets of the unit ball of $C(S)^*$),
- $|a_1(s)| \geq |a_2(s)| \geq \dots$ for all $s \in S$,
- $\sigma_n(s) \neq \sigma_m(s)$ for all $s \in S$ whenever $n \neq m$,
- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(s)| \leq 1$ for all $s \in S$.

Put $\beta = \lambda(U) > 0$. Applying the Egorov and Lusin theorems, we may find a compact subset $S_1 \subset S$ with $\lambda(S_1) \geq 1 - \beta/2$ such that

- each $a_n|_{S_1}$ is continuous on S_1 ,
- each $\sigma_n|_{S_1}$ is continuous on S_1 ,
- $\sum |a_n(s)|$ converges uniformly on S_1 ,
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s \in S_1} |\nu_s|(B(t, 1/n)) \rightarrow 0$ for all $t \in S$; (2.2)

here $B(t, r)$ denotes the closed ball with centre t and radius r . To prove (2.2) fix a countable dense subset Q of S . Let $\mathbf{U}_n$ be the countable set of all finite covers of S by means of open balls of radius $1/n$ with centres in Q . Then

$$\varphi_n(s) = \inf_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{U}_n} \sup_{B \in \mathcal{C}} |\nu_s|(B)$$

defines a sequence of Σ_λ -measurable functions, and we have $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise, since the ν_s are diffuse and S is compact. By Egorov's theorem (φ_n) tends to 0 uniformly on a large subset of S . This easily implies our claim.

After discarding relatively isolated points of S_1 , if necessary, we come up with a perfect subset S_1 with the above properties; note that $U \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ since this intersection has positive λ -measure. Next we pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{n > N} |a_n(s)| \leq \alpha/3 \quad \forall s \in S_1. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $s \in U \cap S_1$. Then

$$\alpha \leq |\mu_s(\{s\})| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(s) \delta_{\sigma_n(s)}(\{s\}) \right|.$$

Consequently $\sigma_k(s) = s$ for some k ; in addition, we must have

$$|a_k(s)| \geq \alpha \quad (2.4)$$

for this k so that $k \leq N$. This shows that

$$U \cap S_1 = \bigcup_{l=1}^N \{s \in U \cap S_1 : \sigma_l(s) = s\}.$$

Each of these N sets is relatively closed in $U \cap S_1$, so one of them, with index k say, contains a relatively interior point s_0 . Therefore, there exists some $\delta > 0$ with the following properties:

For $B_l = \sigma_l(S_1 \cap B(s_0, \delta))$, $l = 1, \dots, N$, we have

- (a) $B_k = S_1 \cap B(s_0, \delta) \subset S_1 \cap U$, and $\sigma_k(s) = s$ for all $s \in B_k$,
- (b) $B_l \cap B(s_0, \delta) = \emptyset$ for $l \neq k$ (since $s_0 = \sigma_k(s_0) \neq \sigma_l(s_0)$ and these functions are continuous on S_1),
- (c) $|\nu_s|(B(s_0, \delta)) \leq \alpha/3$ for all $s \in S_1$ (see (2.2)).

Now let $V = S_1 \cap B(s_0, \delta/2)$. This is a compact perfect subset of S and thus $C(V)$ is isomorphic to $C(S)$ by Milutin's theorem [12, Th. III.D.19]. Also let $W = \{s \in S : d(s, s_0) \geq \delta\}$. Again, this is a compact subset of S , $V \cap W = \emptyset$, and we have from (a) and (b) above

- (a') $\sigma_k(s) = s$ for all $s \in V$,
- (b') $B_l \subset W$ for all $l = 1, \dots, N$, $l \neq k$.

By the Borsuk-Dugundji theorem (see e.g. [12, Cor. III.D.17]) there exists an isometric linear extension operator $L: C(V \cup W) \rightarrow C(S)$. Let $E = \{f \in C(V \cup W) : f|_W = 0\}$ and $F = L(E)$; thus $F \cong E \cong C(V)$, and F is isomorphic to $C(S)$.

We finally prove that $T|_F$ is an isomorphism. In fact, let us show that

$$\|Tf\| \geq \frac{\alpha}{3} \|f\| \quad \forall f \in F.$$

Suppose $f \in F$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Then $\|f|_V\| = 1$ and $f|_W = 0$. Pick $s \in V$ with $|f(s)| = 1$. Then we have (recall that $V \subset S_1 \cap U$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Tf\| &\geq |Tf(s)| \\
 &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(s)f(\sigma_n(s)) + \int_S f d\nu_s \right| \\
 &\geq |a_k(s)| - \sum_{n>N} |a_n(s)| - \int_S |f| d|\nu_s| \\
 &\quad \text{(by (a') and (b'))} \\
 &\geq \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{3} - |\nu_s|(B(s_0, \delta)) \\
 &\quad \text{(by (2.4), (2.3) and since } f|_W = 0) \\
 &\geq \frac{\alpha}{3} \quad \text{(by (c)).}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

3. OPERATORS WHOSE ADJOINTS HAVE SEPARABLE RANGES

In this section we discuss another, formally shorter, approach to Theorem 1.1 via the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose S is a compact Hausdorff space without isolated points. If $T: C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$ is a bounded linear operator such that $\text{ran}(T^*)$ is separable, then T satisfies the Daugavet equation.*

Proof. Let $(\mu_s)_{s \in S}$ be the representing kernel of T , and let $\{\mu_{s_n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be dense in $\{\mu_s: s \in S\}$. We define $\mu = \sum 2^{-n}|\mu_{s_n}|$; then all the μ_s are absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Hence $\mu_s(\{s\}) \neq 0$ only if $|\mu|(\{s\}) \neq 0$. Therefore, $\{s \in S: \mu_s(\{s\}) \neq 0\}$ is countable, and, since S is perfect, its complement is dense by Baire's theorem. This implies that the condition of Lemma 1.2 is satisfied, and the proposition is proved. $\square$

Proposition 3.1 obviously covers the case of compact operators, operators factoring through a space with a separable dual, and, in the case of metric S , weakly compact operators; for the latter observe that $T^{**}(C(S)^{**}) \subset C(S)$ so that T^{**} and, consequently, T^* have separable ranges. But even for non-metrizable perfect S the Daugavet equation for weakly compact operators on $C(S)$ can be derived from the argument of Proposition 3.1; one only has

to recall that by a theorem of Bartle, Dunford and Schwartz [3, p. 306] also in this case all the μ_s are absolutely continuous with respect to some finite measure μ . This seems to yield the easiest proof of the Daugavet equation for these classes of operators.

If S is a metric space then Proposition 3.1 clearly follows from Theorem 1.1. On the other hand, Rosenthal [8] (see also [9]) has shown that an operator $T: C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$, S an uncountable compact metric space, with $\text{ran}(T^*)$ nonseparable fixes a copy of $C(S)$. Therefore Proposition 3.1 implies Theorem 1.1 so that both these results are in fact equivalent. Moreover we note that in this setting T does not fix a copy of $C(S)$ if and only if T does not fix a copy of ℓ^1 if and only if T is weakly sequentially precompact, i.e., if (f_n) is a bounded sequence in $C(S)$, then (Tf_n) admits a weak Cauchy subsequence.

We finally extend Proposition 3.1 to the class of nicely embedded Banach spaces introduced in [11] so that we obtain a unified approach to several results of that paper.

Let S be a Hausdorff topological space, and let $C^b(S)$ be the sup-normed Banach space of all bounded continuous scalar-valued functions. The functional $f \mapsto f(s)$ on $C^b(S)$ is denoted by δ_s . We say that a linear map $J: X \rightarrow C^b(S)$ on a Banach space X is a *nice embedding* and that X is *nicely embedded* into $C^b(S)$ if J is an isometry such that for all $s \in S$ the following properties hold:

- (N1) For $p_s := J^*(\delta_s) \in X^*$ we have $\|p_s\| = 1$.
- (N2) $\text{lin}\{p_s\}$ is an L -summand in X^* .

The latter condition means that there are projections Π_s from X^* onto $\text{lin}\{p_s\}$ such that

$$\|x^*\| = \|\Pi_s(x^*)\| + \|x^* - \Pi_s(x^*)\| \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

We will also need the equivalence relation

$$s \sim t \text{ if and only if } \Pi_s = \Pi_t$$

on S . Then s and t are equivalent if and only if p_s and p_t are linearly dependent, which implies by (N1) that $p_t = \lambda p_s$ for some scalar of modulus 1. The equivalence classes of this relation are obviously closed.

We will consider the following nondiscreteness condition.

- (N3) None of the equivalence classes $Q_s = \{t \in S: s \sim t\}$ contains an interior point.

If the set $\{p_s: s \in S\}$ is linearly independent, this simply means:

(N3') *S does not contain an isolated point.*

By (N2), the p_s are linearly independent as soon as they are pairwise linearly independent.

Proposition 3.2 *Let S be a Baire topological Hausdorff space and suppose that X is nicely embedded into $C^b(S)$ so that additionally (N3) holds. If $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a bounded linear operator with $\text{ran}(T^*)$ separable, then T satisfies the Daugavet equation.*

Proof. We stick to the above notation and put $q_s = T^*(p_s)$. By [11, Prop. 2.1] it is sufficient to prove that

$$S' = \{t \in S: \Pi_t(q_s) = 0 \ \forall s\}$$

is dense in S . If $\{q_{s_n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ denotes a dense subset of $\{q_s: s \in S\}$, then $t \notin S'$ if and only if $\Pi_t(q_{s_n}) \neq 0$ for some n . This implies that $S \setminus S'$ consists of at most countably many equivalence classes for the equivalence relation $\sim$ (cf. [11, Lemma 2.3]), and by (N3) and Baire's theorem S' must be dense. $\square$

It is proved in [11] that the following classes of Banach spaces satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 3.2:

- X is a function algebra whose Choquet boundary does not contain isolated points,
- X is a real L^1 -predual space so that the set $\text{ex } B_{X^*}$ of extreme functionals does not contain isolated points (for the weak* topology),
- X is a complex L^1 -predual space for which the quotient space $\text{ex } B_{X^*}/\sim$ does not contain isolated points, where $\sim$ means linear dependence,
- X is a space of type C_Λ for certain subsets Λ of abelian discrete groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. ABRAMOVICH. *New classes of spaces on which compact operators satisfy the Daugavet equation.* J. Operator Theory **25** (1991), 331–345.
- [2] Y. ABRAMOVICH, C. D. ALIPRANTIS, AND O. BURKINSHAW. *The Daugavet equation in uniformly convex Banach spaces.* J. Funct. Anal. **97** (1991), 215–230.

- [3] N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ. *Linear Operators. Part 1: General Theory*. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [4] V. M. KADETS. *Some remarks concerning the Daugavet equation*. Quaestiones Math. (to appear).
- [5] N. J. KALTON. *The endomorphisms of L_p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$)*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **27** (1978), 353–381.
- [6] H. E. LACEY. *The Isometric Theory of Classical Banach Spaces*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.
- [7] A. M. PLICHKO AND M. K. POPOV. *Symmetric function spaces on atomless probability spaces*. Dissertationes Mathematicae **306** (1990).
- [8] H. P. ROSENTHAL. *On factors of $C([0, 1])$ with non-separable dual*. Israel J. Math. **13** (1972), 361–378. *Correction*. Ibid. **21** (1975), 93–94.
- [9] L. WEIS. *The range of an operator in $C(X)$ and its representing stochastic kernel*. Arch. Math. **46** (1986), 171–178.
- [10] D. WERNER. *An elementary approach to the Daugavet equation*. In: N. J. Kalton, E. Saab, and S. Montgomery-Smith, editors, *Interaction between Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, and Probability. Proc. Conf. Columbia 1994*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 175, pages 494–454. Marcel Dekker, 1996.
- [11] D. WERNER. *The Daugavet equation for operators on function spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. (to appear).
- [12] P. WOJTASZCZYK. *Banach Spaces for Analysts*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 25. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [13] P. WOJTASZCZYK. *Some remarks on the Daugavet equation*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 1047–1052.

Mathematisches Institut I, Universität Karlsruhe,
D-76 128 Karlsruhe, Germany; e-mail: Lutz.Weis@math.uni-karlsruhe.de
I. Mathematisches Institut, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 2–6,
D-14 195 Berlin, Germany; e-mail: werner@math.fu-berlin.de